ECE Appendix 14-Evaluation form-Teacher Education Program (TEP) Stage III Portfolio

Salish Kootenai College **Early Childhood Education Stage III Portfolio**

Date:

Candidate:

Faculty Interviewer: Faculty Interviewer:

Evaluation of Candidate for TEP Stage III

Interviewer: Begin the interview by asking the candidate to discuss his/her strengths in relationship to the NAEYC Standards. Score each item for the portfolio in the documentation box. Artifacts previously scored in classes will be included with appropriate rubrics and should have a minimum score of two. Check complete for included artifacts and that have a score of two or more. All artifacts must be included and checked complete for candidates to pass the interview.

The candidate's portfolio is assessed based on the following ratings: 1=Unacceptable 2=Developing 3=Proficient 4=Exemplary IC=Incomplete

There are six principles in all. Students will be asked to update reflection written analyses of their strengths based on the six NAEYC Standards during each TEP interview stage.

Introduction					
Level of Performance:	1 Unacceptable	IC	2 Developing	3 Proficient	4 Exemplary
Documentation:					
Resume Score:	Difficult to follow; numerous errors in spelling or writing mechanics.		Lists work experiences, but lacks information related to experience with children age $0 - 8$. May have a few errors in spelling or writing mechanics.	Professional and easy to follow. Lists academic background, work and volunteer experiences, professional experiences with children age $0 - 8$, & personal interests. Shows a clear commitment to becoming a professional educator.	Professional and easy to follow. Academic background, work, volunteer experiences, 0-8 professional teaching experiences, and personal interests show a clear commitment to becoming an educational leader. No errors in spelling or grammar.
Transcripts Score:	GPA below 2.5 in general education courses <u>or</u> required general education courses with a grade lower than C. GPA below 3.0 for required ECED and EDUC courses.		N/A	GPA in required general education courses 2.5 or above; no required general education course grades below C. Required ECED and EDUC courses : No grade lower than B.	Overall GPA 3.0 or above. All other criteria met.
Introductory Materials: ~Introductory Statement ~Table of contents ~Two additional Reference Letters (one faculty and one EC professional) Score:	Introduction lacks one or more components.		Introductory materials are all present, but may have a few errors.	Introductory materials are all present and are well organized and written.	N/A
Verbal communication and presentation skills (TEP Interview) Score:	Speech during the interview may be inaudible or poorly articulated. Language may contain numerous grammar or syntax errors. Vocabulary may be vague or words are used inappropriately, or incorrectly.		Speech is generally clear and correct. Vocabulary is correct although limited. Presentation flows smoothly.	Speech is clear and standard usage is evident. Vocabulary is appropriate to the situation. Presentation is professional and the speaker is confident. Information provided is clear and concise.	

TEP III

NAEYC Standard 1:	Promoting child development and learning:							
	Candidates use their u	Candidates use their understanding of young children's characteristics and needs, and of multiple interacting influences on						
		children's development and learning to create environments that are healthy, respectful, supportive, and challenging for all						
					ultiple influences of development and			
	learning;1c) using dev	elopn	nental knowledge to create	learning environments.				
Level of	1=Unacceptable	IC	2=Developing	3=Proficient	4=Exemplary			
Performance:								
Documentation:								
Reflective Written Analysis (RWA) of your strengths related to NAEYC Standard 1. (Include the NAEYC Standard at the top of a 1-2 page essay. (1) Explain how the standard is important in your teaching; (2) describe ways you have implemented the principle in your lessons and what you plan to do when you teach; (3) conclusion should reinforce your belief in the importance of the standard and make reference to the artifacts/evidence in that section of the portfolio.	One or more of the required elements are missing. Candidate does not articulate an understanding of the standard. Standard is not related back to candidate's teaching or future teaching experiences or portfolio artifacts. Essay is not reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.		All required elements are addressed. Candidate articulates a clear understanding of the standard. Standard is related to candidate's teaching or future teaching experiences and portfolio artifacts. Essay is reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates understanding of the standard through reflective examples and ideas. The candidate provides several examples of his/her teaching and future teaching experiences along with portfolio artifacts to express the importance of the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates an understanding of the standard that goes beyond that expected of a first year teacher. Describes several examples of teaching experiences and artifacts that demonstrate an advanced capacity to implement the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as specific examples of how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.			
Score:								

Artifact	Course Assessed in	Previous Rubric Score	Complete	IC
Standard 1 component of Advanced Practicum	ECED 498			
Observation Form				

NAEYC Standard 2:	Building family and community relationships:							
		Candidates know about, understand, and value the importance and complex characteristics of children's families and						
	communities. They use this understanding to create respectful, reciprocal relationships that support and empower families,							
				t and learning: 2a) understanding				
					ful, reciprocal relationships; 2c)			
	involving families and o	com	munities in children's develop	ment.				
Level of	1=Unacceptable I	IC	2=Developing	3=Proficient	4=Exemplary			
Performance:	_							
Documentation:								
Reflective Written Analysis (RWA) of your strengths related to NAEYC Standard 1. (Include the NAEYC Standard at the top of a 1-2 page essay. (1) Explain how the standard is important in your teaching; (2) describe ways you have implemented the principle in your lessons and what you plan to do when you teach; (3) conclusion should reinforce your belief in the importance of the standard and make reference to the artifacts/evidence in that section of the portfolio.	One or more of the required elements are missing. Candidate does not articulate an understanding of the standard. Standard is not related back to candidate's teaching, future teaching experiences or portfolio artifacts. Essay is not reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.		All required elements are addressed. Candidate articulates a clear understanding of the standard. Standard is related to candidate's teaching or future teaching experiences as well as portfolio artifacts. Essay is reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates understanding of the standard through reflective examples and ideas. The candidate provides several examples of his/her teaching and future teaching experiences along with portfolio artifacts to express the importance of the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates an understanding of the standard that goes beyond that expected of a first year teacher. Describes several examples of teaching experiences and artifacts that demonstrate an advanced capacity to implement the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as specific examples of how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.			

Artifact	Course Assessed in	Previous Rubric Score	Complete	IC
Standard 2 component of Advanced Practicum Observation Form	ECED 498			

NAEYC Standard 3:	Observing, documenting, and assessing to support young children and families: Candidates know about and understand the goals, benefits, and uses of assessment. They know about and use systematic observations, documentation, and other effective assessment strategies in a responsible way, in partnership with families and other professionals, to positively influence children's development and learning: 3a) understanding assessment goals, benefits, and uses; 3b) using appropriate assessments; 3c) Practicing responsible assessment; 3d) Knowing about assessment partnerships with families and professionals.						
Level of	1=Unacceptable	IC	2=Developing	3=Proficient	4=Exemplary		
Performance:							
Reflective Written Analysis (RWA) of your strengths related to NAEYC Standard 1. (Include the NAEYC Standard at the top of a 1- 2 page essay. (1) Explain how the standard is important in your teaching; (2) describe ways you have implemented the principle in your lessons and what you plan to do when you teach; (3) conclusion should reinforce your belief in the importance of the standard and make reference to the artifacts/evidence in that section of the portfolio.	One or more of the required elements are missing. Candidate does not articulate an understanding of the standard. Standard is not related back to candidate's teaching, future teaching experiences or portfolio artifacts. Essay is not reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.		All required elements are addressed. Candidate articulates a clear understanding of the standard. Standard is related to candidate's teaching or future teaching experiences as well as portfolio artifacts. Essay is reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates understanding of the standard through reflective examples and ideas. The candidate provides several examples of his/her teaching and future teaching experiences along with portfolio artifacts to express the importance of the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates an understanding of the standard that goes beyond that expected of a first year teacher. Describes several examples of teaching experiences and artifacts that demonstrate an advanced capacity to implement the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as specific examples of how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.		

Artifact	Course Assessed in	Previous Rubric Score	Complete	IC
Standard 3 component of Advanced Practicum Observation Form	ECED 498			
Action Research Project	EDUC 495			

TEP III

NAEYC Standard 4:	Using Developmentally Effective Approaches to Connect with Children and Families: <i>Candidates understand that teaching and learning with young children is a complex enterprise, and its details vary depending on children's ages, characteristics, and the settings within which teaching and learning occur. They understand and use positive relationships and supportive interactions as the foundation for their work with young children and families:</i> 4a) Understanding supportive relationships and supportive interactions as the foundation for early education; 4c) Using a broad repertoire of developmentally appropriate teaching/learning approaches; 4d) Reflecting on their own practice to promote positive outcomes for each child.						
Level of Performance:	1=Unacceptable	IC	2=Developing	3=Proficient	4=Exemplary		
Documentation:							
Reflective Written Analysis (RWA) of your strengths related to NAEYC Standard 1. (Include the NAEYC Standard at the top of a 1-2 page essay. (1) Explain how the standard is important in your teaching; (2) describe ways you have implemented the principle in your lessons and what you plan to do when you teach; (3) conclusion should reinforce your belief in the importance of the standard and make reference to the artifacts/evidence in that section of the portfolio. Score:	One or more of the required elements are missing. Candidate does not articulate an understanding of the standard. Standard is not related back to candidate's teaching, future teaching experiences or portfolio artifacts. Essay is not reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.		All required elements are addressed. Candidate articulates a clear understanding of the standard. Standard is related to candidate's teaching or future teaching experiences as well as portfolio artifacts. Essay is reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates understanding of the standard through reflective examples and ideas. The candidate provides several examples of his/her teaching and future teaching experiences along with portfolio artifacts to express the importance of the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates an understanding of the standard that goes beyond that expected of a first year teacher. Describes several examples of teaching experiences and artifacts that demonstrate an advanced capacity to implement the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as specific examples of how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.		

Artifact	Course Assessed in	Previous Rubric Score	Complete	IC
Standard 4 component of Advanced Practicum Observation Form	ECED 498			

NAEYC Standard 5:	Using Content Knowledge to Build Meaningful Curriculum: Candidates use their knowledge of academic disciplines to design, implement, and evaluate experiences that promote positive development and learning for each and every young child: 5a) Understanding content knowledge and resources in academic disciplines; 5b) Knowing and using the central concepts, inquiry tools, and structures of content areas or academic disciplines; 5c) Using their own knowledge, appropriate early learning standards, and other resources to design, implement, and evaluate meaningful, challenging curricula for each child.						
Level of Performance:	1=Unacceptable	IC	2=Developing	3=Proficient	4=Exemplary		
Documentation:							
Reflective Written Analysis (RWA) of your strengths related to NAEYC Standard 1. (Include the NAEYC Standard at the top of a 1-2 page essay. (1) Explain how the standard is important in your teaching; (2) describe ways you have implemented the principle in your lessons and what you plan to do when you teach; (3) conclusion should reinforce your belief in the importance of the standard and make reference to the artifacts/evidence in that section of the portfolio. Score:	One or more of the required elements are missing. Candidate does not articulate an understanding of the standard. Standard is not related back to candidate's teaching, future teaching experiences or portfolio artifacts. Essay is not reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.		All required elements are addressed. Candidate articulates a clear understanding of the standard. Standard is related to candidate's teaching or future teaching experiences as well as portfolio artifacts. Essay is reflective of candidate's strengths related to the standard.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates understanding of the standard through reflective examples and ideas. The candidate provides several examples of his/her teaching and future teaching experiences along with portfolio artifacts to express the importance of the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.	All required elements are addressed and candidate articulates an understanding of the standard that goes beyond that expected of a first year teacher. Describes several examples of teaching experiences and artifacts that demonstrate an advanced capacity to implement the standard. The candidate reflects on his/her strengths related to the standard as well as specific examples of how to build on those strengths in future teaching experiences.		

Artifact	Course Assessed in	Previous Rubric Score	Complete	IC
Standard 5 component of Advanced Practicum	ECED 498			
Observation Form				

NAEYC Standard 6:	Becoming a professional:					
	Candidates identify and conduct themselves as members of the early childhood profession. They know and use ethical					
	guidelines and other professional standards related to early childhood practice. They are continuous, collaborative					
	learners who demonstrate knowledgeable, reflective, and critical perspectives on their work, making informed decisions					
	that integrate knowledge from a variety of sources. They are informed advocates for sound educational practices and					
	policies: 5a) identifying and becoming involved with the early childhood field; 5b) upholding ethical and professional					
				rating knowledgeable, reflective,	and critical perspectives; 5e)	
	engaging in advocacy for	or chil	dren and the profession.	1	1	
Level of Performance:	1=Unacceptable	IC	2=Developing	3=Proficient	4=Exemplary	
Documentation:						
Reflective Written	One or more of the		All required elements are	All required elements are	All required elements are	
Analysis (RWA) of your	required elements are		addressed. Candidate	addressed and candidate	addressed and candidate	
strengths related to NAEYC	missing. Candidate does		articulates a clear	articulates understanding of the	articulates an understanding of	
Standard 1. (Include the	not articulate an		understanding of the	standard through reflective	the standard that goes beyond	
NAEYC Standard at the top of a 1-2 page essay. (1)	understanding of the standard. Standard is not		standard. Standard is related to candidate's	examples and ideas. The candidate provides several	that expected of a first year teacher. Describes several	
Explain how the standard is	related back to		teaching or future teaching	examples of his/her teaching and	examples of teaching	
important in your teaching;	candidate's teaching, experiences as well as future teaching experiences and artifacts that					
(2) describe ways you have	future teaching portfolio artifacts. Essay is along with portfolio artifacts to demonstrate an advanced					
implemented the principle	experiences or portfolio		reflective of candidate's	express the importance of the	capacity to implement the	
in your lessons and what	artifacts. Essay is not		strengths related to the	standard. The candidate reflects	standard. The candidate reflects	
you plan to do when you	reflective of candidate's		standard.	on his/her strengths related to	on his/her strengths related to	
teach; (3) conclusion should	strengths related to the			the standard as well as how to	the standard as well as specific	
reinforce your belief in the	standard.			build on those strengths in future	examples of how to build on	
importance of the standard				teaching experiences.	those strengths in future teaching	
and make reference to the artifacts/evidence in that					experiences.	
section of the portfolio.						
section of the portiono.						
Score:						

Artifact	Course Assessed in	Previous Rubric	Complete	IC
		Score		
Standard 6 component of Advanced Practicum	ECED 498			
Observation Form				
Completed Professional Goal Plan	ECED 498			

Scoring Grid	Unacceptable=1	Developing=2	Proficient=3	Comments
RWA Standard 1				
RWA Standard 2				
RWA Standard 3				
RWA Standard 4				
RWA Standard 5				
RWA Standard 6				
Total Points				

Average score (Total points/6) = _____

Did all portfolio artifacts receive a minimum score of 2? YES NO (circle one)

Recommendations and further goals: (required field: to be visited later)

Faculty Interviewer	Date	Faculty Interviewer	Date

Candidate Date

<u>Reminder for program faculty review:</u> To be accepted into the Teacher Education Program, the candidate must first meet the general requirements (refer to the TEP Student Handbook and the correct catalog for candidate's program). The portfolio must have **no** unacceptable ratings and have an overall average score of at least 2.5.

<u>Program Faculty Decision</u>: Pass Incomplete Reject application (Circle one)

Department Chair Date

Remediation: If the candidate's application is rejected, please make specific recommendations and provide a timeframe for meeting recommendations on a separate, attached sheet.